IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3439 OF 2006

Damu Vansh Agri & Anr. .. Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.Kuldeep Patil for applicants

Ms.Rajeshree Gadhavi, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 20th September 2006.

P.C.

. The application for anticipatory bail preferred by the applicants has been rejected by the trial court because in the opinion of the trial court, the applicants accused were

absconding when the sessions case was being tried and the judgement delivered therein, qua, other accused. Having traced the applicants, prosecution intends to put them to trial in the sessions case. In such circumstances, no case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. However,disposal of this application does not bar the applicants from applying for regular bail and such application, if made, shall be dealt with on its own merits and in accordance with law. Application disposed of.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)